

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COSIMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1962
Alexandra, Vegata 2211-1450
www.restato.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/781,562	02/13/2001	Nissim Mass	1874-4014US2	2685
7	590 05/20/2003			
MORGAN &	FINNEGAN, L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
345 Park Avenue New York, NY 10154			JUSKA, CHERYL ANN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	•		MASS ET AL.			
		09/781,562	Art Unit			
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	1771			
		Cheryl Juska				
	Th MAILING DATE of this communication	app ars on the coversity	St Will dir Control on the Control of the Control o			
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
•	THE MALLING DATE OF I ITS COVINIONITY of the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication if the period for reply specified above is less than thinty (30) days.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stability of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum stability of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum stability of the provision of the provisi	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, m reply within the statutory minimum riod will apply and will expire SIX (6	nay a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
31	atus  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	28 February 2003 .				
	a . ☐ This action is FINAL 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.				
	Za) This action is that all the state of the	lowence except for forms	al matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
	4) Claim(s) 5.6 and 9-33 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-28 and 33</u> is/are allowed.					
l	6) Claim(s) 5,9-22 and 29-32 is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) 6 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
a) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
to □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.00(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
1	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
١	a) ☐ All b) ☐ 'Some * c) ☐ None of:					
ł	1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2 . Certified conjes of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	*See the attached detailed unite action of a list of the community under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
	. The standard of the foreign langua	ne provisional application	n has been received.			
	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
	Attachment(s)	<b>,</b> П.	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93)    Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5) <u>□</u> 1	Notice of Informal Patent Application (P10-152)			

Art Unit: 1771

### DETAILED ACTION

### Response to Amendment

Amendment B, submitted as Paper No. 5 on February 28, 2003, has been entered. Claim 5 has been amended as requested. The pending claims are 5, 6, and 9-33.

### Claim Objections

Claim 31 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "between 20% to about 20% to about 50%". Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 has been amended to recite "a knitted netting having at least one modified lateral ribbon with reduced lateral shrinkage." As written, it appears that the modified ribbon has the reduced shrinkage rather than the netting as a whole. Additionally, it is unclear what the reduced shrinkage is in comparison to.

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it

scope than the disclosure of the invention.

Art Unit: 1771

pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 13-22 and 29-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a process of knitting a netting having a modified lateral ribbon length by knitting polyolefin ribbons with a corrugated trick plate, does not reasonably provide enablement for a process which is not limited to said trick plate. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The only method disclosed by applicant for making said netting having a modified lateral ribbon length is by use of a corrugated trick plate. However, independent claims 13 and 18, as currently written, encompass making a netting having a modified lateral ribbon length made by another method such as that one taught by the cited Diestel patent. Thus, claims 13-22 and 29-32 are broader in

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the cited Diestel
  patent in view of the cited Leiber patent, as set forth in section 3 of the last Office Action.

Applicant has amended claim 5 to include the limitation "with reduced lateral shrinkage."

However, said amendment is insufficient to overcome the above rejection. As noted in the last

Office Action, Diestel teaches a knitted netting having a modified lateral thread which has an
actual length greater than the distance between the longitudinal threads (i.e., calculated length).

Art Unit: 1771

Thus, Diestel teaches the claimed method steps of (a) supplying lateral and longitudinal ribbons to a knitting machine and (b) forming at least one modified lateral ribbon. As such, it is argued that the new limitation of "with reduced lateral shrinkage" would be met by the Diestel invention since the netting has a reserve of slack in its lateral threads.

Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the cited
 Diestel patent in view of the cited Leiber patent.

Although said claims were indicated as containing allowable subject matter in the last Office Action, said indication was in error. Specifically, the Examiner was under the impression that claim 9-12 were dependent upon claim 6 rather than claim 5. As such, claims 9-12 are now rejected as being obvious over the cited prior art.

Although Diestel does not explicitly teach the presently claimed location of the modified ribbons (i.e., outside edges) or that all of the ribbons are modified to at least 10% and 30%, it is argued that these features would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. In particular, Diestel teaches various placements for the modified threads (see Figures 11d-11f) and various degrees of slack (Figures 4 and 6) in order to obtain various net configurations. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select various locations and degrees of slack in order to tailor a net for a particular application. Therefore, claims 9-12 are rejected.

# Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

Art Unit: 1771

any intervening claims. Claim 6 contains allowable subject matter in that the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method of making a knitted netting having the claimed modified lateral ribbon by the use of a corrugated trick plate in the knitting machine.

Claims 23-28 and 33 are allowed. Although Diestel teaches many features of the claimed 11. invention, there is no teaching or suggestion in Diestel to make a knitted netting having a modified lateral ribbon length with the use of a corrugated trick plate, as presently claimed.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 12. Examiner should be directed to Cheryl Juska whose telephone number is 703-305-4472. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Page 5